

Акционерное общество «АстанаГаз КМГ» Акционерлік қоғамы

Занды мекен-жай: 010000, Нұр-Сұлтан қаласы, Д. Қонаев көшесі 8, Б - блогы. Пошталық мекен-жай: 010000, Нұр-Сұлтан қаласы, Д. Қонаев көшесі 8, Б - блогы. Тел.: 8(7172) 90-77-01

Юр. адрес: 010000, г. Нур-Султан, Д. Кунаева 8, блок Б Почтовый адрес: 010000, г. Нур-Султан, Д. Кунаева 8, блок Б Тел.: 8(7172) 90-77-01

12112019 No 03-10/1310

АО «Казахстанская фондовая биржа»

Настоящим АО «АстанаГаз КМГ» (далее — Общество) сообщает, что 08 ноября 2019 года Fitch Ratings присвоило Обществу долгосрочный рейтинг дефолта эмитента на уровне «ВВ» и долгосрочный рейтинг по национальной шкале «А (kaz)». Прогноз по рейтингам — «Позитивный».

Текст сообщения от Fitch Ratings прилагаем.

Заместитель генерального директора по экономике и финансам

Alees

Ж. Искаков

Исполнитель: Т. Ерболатов Тел.: +7 (7172) 90 77 11 E-mail: <u>t.verbolatov@kmg.kz</u> 08 Nov 2019 Rating Changed Outlook to Positive

Fitch Revises Outlook on Astana Gas KMG to Positive; Affirms at 'BB'

Fitch Ratings-London-08 November 2019:

Fitch Ratings has revised the Outlook on JSC Astana Gas KMG's (AG) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) to Positive from Stable and affirmed the IDR at 'BB' and National Long-Term Rating at 'A(kaz)'.

RATING RATIONALE

Under Fitch's Government Related Entities (GRE) Criteria, we classify AG as an entity with strong linkage to its ultimate sponsor, the Republic of Kazakhstan (BBB/Stable), based on state funding, policy support, and indirect full state ownership and control via Sovereign Wealth Fund Samruk-Kazyna JSC (Samruk, BBB/Stable, 50%) and JSC National Management Holding Baiterek (Baiterek, BBB/Stable, 50%).

Conversely, we perceive that the state's incentive to support AG in case of a default is limited by the greenfield nature of the pipeline project and its funding, which is mostly from local sources.

There is a three-notch differential between the company's rating and that of the sovereign, based on Fitch's combined assessment of the strength of linkage to the government and its incentive to support AG.

The revision of the Outlook largely reflects reduced completion risk as the project has entered the final stages of construction and commissioning on time and on budget. Transition to operating phase is expected in 1H20.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Status, Ownership and Control - Strong:

On 5 March 2018, the president of Kazakhstan presented the AG project in his "five social initiatives" speech and it later became a government decree, with close state control over its execution.

We view Kazakhstan as the company's ultimate parent. It indirectly owns 100% of AG via Samruk and Baiterek. Both holding companies have special status, are granted quasi-fiscal functions and manage strategic state assets. Government officials monitor and control the project's progress via monthly reports to the minister of energy and to two technical and a financial special working groups.

The government provided Samruk with special crisis funds, which are an important source of capital injections. These funds were initially channelled in 2009-2010 from the state budget to Samruk as an emergency liquidity buffer in the aftermath of the global financial crisis. The government granted Samruk an option to reuse the funds, but only for strategic projects, such as AG. Once commissioned, the gas pipeline will become a strategic national asset, which entails restrictions on security and privatisation. Disposal of such assets requires a government decree.

Support Track Record and Expectations - Very Strong:

AG has received significant cash support from the government to build the pipeline. Kazakhstan provided a capital injection via Samruk and Baiterek of KZT80.3 billion, which constitutes 30% of the total project cost. State-related creditors provided the remaining 70%. In 2018, Kazakhstan's State Pension Fund purchased KZT85 billion bonds maturing in 2033 and guaranteed equally by Samruk and Baiterek. Eurasian Development Bank (EDB, BBB+/Stable, 66% owned by Russia and 33% by Kazakhstan) provided a final KZT102 billion via the purchase of another bond issue in 1H19. In turn, EBD received a KZT51 billion earmarked loan from Development Bank of Kazakhstan (DBK, BBB-/Stable; 100% owned by Baiterek) to purchase 50% of the bonds. Thus, EDB effectively acts as a pass through agent by channeling part interest payments from AG bonds to service DBK's loan.

In addition to financial support, the state adopted favourable legislation, which introduced a cost-based gas transportation tariff framework. This uniform nationwide tariff will be increased once the project is commissioned. This confirms a stable record of state support and we expect AG to continue to receive tangible financial support from the government or its agents.

Socio-Political Implications - Weak:

We do not expect any socio-political implications in case of a default. As the project is still in the greenfield phase, a default would not affect provision of any public services, but rather delay gasification of the capital city Nur-Sultan (formerly Astana) while current suppliers would cover energy needs.

Financial Implications of Default - Moderate:

The financial implications of a default are limited due to the mostly non-public and local nature of the funding. However, there could be some repercussions because 40% of funds are provided by EDB, an international financial institution, which has loaned a total USD3.3 billion in 75 projects in Kazakhstan (40% of EDB's total portfolio) mostly in core sectors of the country's economy, i.e. mining, transportation and energy, including gas distribution networks. Thus a default would cause reputational damage, increase the cost of finance to other GREs and decrease availability of funding from other international financial institutions active in Kazakhstan.

Standalone Rating Assessment:

Fitch has not assigned a Standalone Credit Profile at this stage because of the lack of information on the EPC contractor and due to availability of only preliminary information on revenue framework, operational risk and financial metrics.

After the pipeline's construction is complete and the project is commissioned, we expect to have sufficient and full information to assess AG's standalone rating. In particular, we will assess final signed rental agreement with the off-taker (Intergas Central Asia, BBB-/Stable, the designated national operator of the main gas pipelines) and the approved nationwide tariff. We will also review AG's updated financial model and its debt metrics that reflect the actual and final set-up in the operating phase.

However, considering the strong features of the project, the credit quality of its off-taker and preliminary information on revenue framework and operating risk, we believe it is likely that AG's standalone rating will be four notches or less lower than Kazakhstan's sovereign rating.

PEER GROUP

AG has no direct peers as the project is greenfield, rated under the GRE Criteria. Its closest peer is JSC Samruk-Energy (BB/Stable), which is rated using the same approach and notching, but is fully operational and has a different structure of rating drivers. Most significantly, a default by Samruk-Energy would have greater socio-political and financial implications while it has less ongoing cash support from the state. Another peer is Kazakhstan Electricity Grid Operating Company (KEGOC, BBB-/Stable), which is rated higher mostly due to its stronger standalone profile.

The last relatively close peer is Qatari LNG producer RasGas (AA-/Stable). It is rated much higher than AG, in line with the sovereign, because it has very strong standalone profile at 'A+'. We also deem the socio-political and financial implications of a default of RasGas for the Qatari state to be very significant.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action:

- A downgrade of Kazakhstan's sovereign rating;
- A reduction in implied support and commitment from the government, as well as importance of the project to Kazakhstan;
- Significant cost and time overruns during construction and commissioning phase not remedied by the government, which will change our assessment of Support Track Record factor;
- Reassessment of Financial Implications of Default to Weak from Moderate in case international financial institutions exit from the project's funding.

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action:

- An upgrade of Kazakhstan's sovereign rating;
- Completion of construction and commissioning phase largely on time and on budget with smooth transition to operating phase resulting in a standalone rating assessment four notches lower than the sovereign and/or reassessment of the socio-political implications of a default.

TRANSACTION SUMMARY

AG is a domestic gas pipeline in final stage of construction. It is designed to transport natural gas more than 1,000 kilometres from fields in the Western Kazakhstan to 2.7 million people in the capital and to 170 smaller towns along the pipeline route. The provision of gas will allow many to switch from using coal or fuel oil for their energy needs and will improve air quality. The project cost is KZT267 billion (USD0.73 billion). Construction started in 4Q18 and is expected to finish by end-2019. Designed capacity is 2.2 billion cubic metres a year with option to increase it to 3.7 billion cubic metres with additional compressor stations.

CREDIT UPDATE

As of November 2019 construction of the linear part of the pipeline is finished. Gas distribution and measuring stations are installed as well. Currently, AG is running tests and preparing facilities for the commissioning, which is expected to be finished by end-2019.

Public Ratings with Credit Linkage to Other Ratings

Under Fitch's Government Related Entities Criteria, we classify AG as an entity with strong linkage to its ultimate sponsor, the Republic of Kazakhstan. There is a three-notch differential between the company's rating with that of the sovereign, based on Fitch's combined assessment of the strength of linkage to the government and its incentive to support AG.

ESG Considerations

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of 3 - ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity.

For more information on our ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

JSC Astana Gas KMG; Long Term Issuer Default Rating; Affirmed; BB; RO:Pos; National Long Term Rating; Affirmed; A(kaz); RO:Pos

Contacts:

Primary Rating Analyst
Alexey Kobylyanskiy,
Associate Director
+44 20 3530 1786
Fitch Ratings Ltd
30 North Colonnade, Canary Wharf
London E14 5GN

Secondary Rating Analyst Christiane Kuti, Director +44 20 3530 1396

Committee Chairperson
Danilo Quattromani,

Senior Director +39 02 879087 275

Media Relations: Athos Larkou, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 1549, Email: athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 25 Oct 2018)
Rating Criteria for Infrastructure and Project Finance (pub. 27 Jul 2018)

Additional Disclosures

<u>Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form</u>
<u>Solicitation Status</u>
<u>Endorsement Policy</u>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2019 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of

that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees

from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001 Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the <u>EU Regulatory Disclosures</u> page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.